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Due to iron, iodine and vitamin A deficiencies, 30% of the world's population are unable to achieve their full mental and physical potential. While micronutrient deficiencies depress gross national product by as much as 5% annually, a comprehensive and sustainable solution would cost less than one-third of one percent of the GNP.

Fortification of commonly eaten foods with micronutrients offers a cost-effective solution that can reach large populations.

The public sector, which has the mandate and responsibility to improve the health of populations, and the private sector, which has the experience and expertise in food production and marketing, can collaborate to make fortified foods widely available.

More than 120 public and private sector leaders discussed collaborative approaches to the elimination of micronutrient malnutrition and the need to establish national dialogues and other action-oriented linkages.

A pre-conference survey of 200 executives and public officials in 12 developing countries revealed communications gaps and confirmed the need for dialogue.

National strategies should employ a mix of fortification, dietary diversification and supplementation, and be coordinated with other public health goals.

Opportunities for collaboration are hampered by a communications gap between public agencies and private producers. Industry leaders have not been challenged to respond to public goals and governments are often uninformed about business needs.

While constraints and shortcomings do exist, there is no need to delay action. The consequences of inaction are too serious.
KEY ISSUES FOR NATIONAL ACTION

A potential market for fortified food exists but it requires an initial investment in public education and awareness to create consumer demand.

Developing consumer demand entails not simply targeting populations and promoting fortified products but developing the right product, price and packaging.

The public health community seeks high coverage of large populations, while the private sector segments the market to identify niches of opportunity. Each national dialogue will determine its own approach.

While fortifying staple foods has the greatest public health impact, basic and value-added foods can also disseminate micronutrients throughout the population.

Collaborative campaigns draw on strengths of both sectors. Public agencies emphasize health benefits, while private companies excel in creating powerful consumer-oriented messages.

Costs of fortified foods must be kept low to assure demand from poorer populations, but high enough to ensure adequate supplies from producers. Risks and costs can be shared.

Quality assurance should be the responsibility of both sectors. A priority task for national dialogue will be to define complementary public and private roles.

APPROACHES TO COLLABORATION

There is a need for political will, matched by clear policies and unified decision-making. Dialogue during the legislative process would help ensure legislation creates an enabling environment for private food companies.

Private industry can take an advocacy role and focus on its strength in creating products and technology, marketing and distribution, and competing on quality and price.

International agencies and NGOs can provide technical support and funding, help compile data for baseline measurements, act as catalysts, and help bridge communications gaps.

A summary of suggested next steps to initiate, develop and sustain a national public-private dialogue.

Dr. Keith Bezanson, President, International Development Research Centre, Canada

APPENDIX

Summary of Public-Private Sector Surveys
Roster of Participants
At the World Summit for Children in 1990, 123 heads of state agreed upon a plan of action to support the survival, protection and development of children. Specific goals included virtually eliminating vitamin A and iodine deficiencies and substantially reducing iron deficiencies by the year 2000. After a half decade of considerable progress toward reaching those goals, the key to sustaining past achievements while continuing to move forward is the broad engagement of the private sector.

The International Dialogue on Micronutrient Malnutrition was jointly conceived by three non-governmental organizations as a vehicle to help bridge the historical, organizational and cultural gaps that have kept governments and food industries from challenging each other to invest in a twenty-first century free of micronutrient malnutrition. The Micronutrient Initiative (MI) and the Program Against Micronutrient Malnutrition (PAMM) focus on advocacy, training and technical assistance in the field of micronutrient malnutrition. The Keystone Center has a long history of bringing together diverse parties to solve difficult health and environmental problems. The three organizations first met in the summer of 1994 to discuss how to stimulate partnerships among governments, international agencies, and national and multinational food industries to address the global problem of micronutrient malnutrition. It was soon recognized that new relationships had to be charted by the players themselves in a neutral arena where diverse groups could share perspectives, understand differences, and explore areas where their interests converged.

Micronutrient malnutrition is a global problem. But it will be eliminated one country at a time. The most fruitful dialogue will be between government and industry at the national level. However a foundation of trust and openness is a prerequisite to collaboration and it was felt that an international forum could introduce participants to the dialogue process, promote goodwill in all sectors, and stimulate a number of national public-private dialogues.

The Ottawa Forum on Food Fortification became a natural first step. It took place in December 1995 after a year of intense planning by PAMM, MI and The Keystone Center staff. We initially planned to bring together a relatively small group of 40 leaders from the two sectors to build trust, garner information and stimulate national activity. As interest grew, we decided to expand the number of participants to 120 to accommodate all those who wanted to attend.
We started with the realization that governments and the food industry had already been working together in a number of countries, providing a rich experience from which to learn. In order to tap into this history, we surveyed more than 200 public officials and food industry executives. We wanted to learn how the public sector had attempted to engage the private sector and with what success. We asked the private sector what they knew about micronutrient malnutrition and what they were doing about it. Both sectors were queried regarding their perceptions on food fortification and prospects for collaboration. Results of the survey were analyzed, synthesized and presented at the Ottawa Forum.

With the additional assistance of USAID through the OMNI Project, UNICEF, UNDP, ILSI, and the World Bank, we invited a diverse group of interested individuals to Ottawa. A complete list of country and food industry representatives participating in the Forum is included in the Appendix to this report. This unique and energizing meeting will serve as the springboard for specific collaborative actions to address micronutrient malnutrition at the national level.

The Ottawa Forum on Food Fortification and the report that follows were not structured to issue a specific policy statement. Rather the Forum provided a non-partisan platform and an open opportunity to explore a range of viewpoints and potential solutions. After three days of interaction and deliberations, recurring themes began to define a common ground. This report attempts to synthesize broad informal discussions, highlight the major themes and suggest areas for collaborative action. To facilitate frank and open discussion, remarks made during the work group sessions were not for attribution. As a result, all participant quotes are taken directly from facilitators' notes and are not credited to specific individuals.

What is important about the Forum is not necessarily the substance of discussions or resulting recommendations, but the process by which the participants engaged one another in a spirit of eager cooperation and goodwill. New contacts were made, relationships were fostered, trust was built, momentum was created, and specific plans for collaboration were established.

Venkatesh Mannar  
Executive Director  
The Micronutrient Initiative

Glen Maberly  
Director  
Program Against Micronutrient Malnutrition

John Ehrmann  
Executive Vice President  
The Keystone Center
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The Forum was a truly participatory event and could not have succeeded without the openness, goodwill, and energy exhibited by everyone involved.
EXCERPTS OF REMARKS MADE ON OPENING DAY OF THE FORUM

What is exciting about your initiative against micronutrient malnutrition is that it is not only idealistic, it is realistic. Governments everywhere are cutting back on expenditures. Corporations everywhere are nervous about their bottom line. Both the private and public sector are looking for what has popularly become known as “more bang for your buck” ways to spend a minimum amount of money for maximum effect. I cannot think of another project within which so much good could be done, for what amounts to pennies per person. Nor can I think of a better example as to how the marketplace, governments and the non-profit sector could join hands to everyone’s benefit. We are going to have to see a lot more of this in coming decades if humanity is going to get through what are likely to be some pretty tough times, and you are at the forefront of that movement.

Let me compliment the private sector representatives in particular. This project cannot be accomplished without the private sector. The private sector has the expertise and the opportunity to play the lead role in curbing micronutrient malnutrition. I am sure that those private interests who act in the public benefit will also stand to gain greater credibility in the marketplace, leading to greater success in the marketplace. Ever since the fall of the Berlin Wall people around the world have been putting an increasing amount of faith in the private sector. Since so many non-capitalist options have fallen short, they are counting on capitalism to be an agent of positive change. There is a responsibility there. And there is a self-interest. The private sector needs a stable, healthy world in which to operate. The progressive corporate leaders I have talked to understand.

To those of you who represent international institutions, let me say I appreciate your presence, and the importance of the work that you do that is very often too large to be tackled by any one country alone. To those of you who represent other donor countries, I know of the work that some of Canada’s friends have done in this area, and I commend you. For those of you who represent corporations and NGOs, I salute you as the wave of the future. Governments will continue to perform important tasks in this world of ours, but to an increasing extent, our future is going to depend on you.

To the most important group of all, those of you who represent countries in which micronutrient malnutrition is a problem, I can only say that in the end, it will be your energy and the pressure that you exert on behalf of your people that will play the most important role of all in this coming-together of interests. If there is one thing that we have learned about international development over the past three decades, it is that successful solutions cannot be imposed solutions. I am very excited about what you are all doing here, and on behalf of my government, I wish you success. A lot of defenseless people around this battered globe are counting on you, and I know you will come up with a plan that will help relieve their agony.

— The Honourable Jon M. Gerrard,
Secretary of State, Science, Research, Development and
Western Economic Diversification – Government of Canada
The elimination of micronutrient malnutrition is the 'Bargain of the Century.'
A decade of science and advocacy has positioned the elimination of micronutrient malnutrition as a global priority. A third of the world’s population is affected by vitamin A, iron and iodine deficiencies. Clinical manifestations – childhood and maternal death, lowered immune response, blindness, mental retardation and anemia – ravage over half a billion people. But this human devastation is only the tip of the iceberg. Another two billion people throughout the socioeconomic spectrum, in both urban and rural settings, are marginally deficient in micronutrients and unable to achieve their full mental and physical potential as parents, workers and citizens. For nations, communities and individuals, micronutrient malnutrition takes a heavy toll in lost productivity, vitality and initiative.

This human tragedy and socioeconomic stagnation can be greatly alleviated. Distributing inexpensive capsules, diversifying diets to include more micronutrient rich foods, or fortifying commonly purchased food products can make an enormous difference. Improving vitamin A status can prevent one out of four infant and child deaths. Eliminating iodine deficiency can raise the mean IQ of entire populations by more than 10-15 points, improving individual initiative, school achievement, and work performance. Reducing iron deficiency can lower maternal deaths by about one-third and increase work capacity by 20% to 40%.

The World Bank estimates very high returns from investment in the elimination of micronutrient malnutrition. While micronutrient deficiencies depress the gross national product by as much as 5% annually, a comprehensive and sustainable solution would cost less than one-third of one percent of the GNP. Health savings result from reductions in morbidity, mortality, and the economic burden of caring for the sick. Social returns accrue from better educational performance in schoolchildren and improved parenting capabilities among adults. The economic dividends reflect higher productivity in the short and medium term, while building human capital for the long term.
FOOD FORTIFICATION: MAKING A DIFFERENCE

Fortification offers one of the most promising opportunities to deliver micronutrient rich foods to large populations. Minute quantities of vitamin A, iron or iodine added to commonly consumed foods have helped to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition in industrialized nations and many developing countries.

For the large and expanding population that regularly purchases and consumes processed foods, fortification can provide a cost-effective and sustainable solution. This is particularly true where the majority regularly consume processed staple foods.

Fortification cannot reach all people deficient in essential micronutrients – especially those with restricted access to centrally processed foods on account of geographic remoteness, poverty or cultural preferences. But for the bulk of the population it can make a crucial difference. Across geographic, social and economic lines, consumption of foods fortified with micronutrients can unlock the enormous human and economic benefits suggested by economists and nutritionists. Defined populations beyond the reach of fortified food products can be targeted with specific interventions such as capsule distribution or other food-based programs.

Governments, NGOs, research institutions and private food companies in a number of countries, with the support of international and bilateral agencies, have been working collaboratively to increase the availability of micronutrient rich foods. In less than a decade, these various sectors have come together to iodize nearly all the 20 million tons of salt consumed annually. That extraordinary achievement lays a foundation of success on which future collaboration can be built. However, most food company executives are unaware of the micronutrient malnutrition issue and the crucial role they can play in its solution. The challenge remains to open channels of communication and establish mechanisms of collaboration between the public sector which has researched and defined the problem and the private sector with experience and expertise in food production.
As this century draws to a close, the roles of government and private industry are changing dramatically. In this new environment, the public sector must create strategies that engage and stimulate the private sector to contribute to the public good. Public agencies must communicate a micronutrient message that motivates industry to do more as government resources become increasingly limited.

Innovative partnerships of governments, private companies and international organizations in developing, producing, marketing and distributing micronutrient rich foods to vulnerable populations can offer benefits for all. Governments reap national health, economic and political benefits by providing effective administrative support and regulatory frameworks to create an enabling environment. Food companies investing in inexpensive fortified products will have a potential competitive advantage in growing markets. Multinationals can develop new consumers in previously untapped markets when they transfer technology and develop new fortified products. Through advocacy, strategic investment and focused technical assistance, the international scientific, development and donor communities can achieve the ambitious goals declared at the World Summit for Children. By demanding products fortified with essential micronutrients, consumers empower themselves to achieve their full social and economic potential.

The key to our successful collaboration is to “keep our eyes on the prize” — the elimination of micronutrient malnutrition.

How can we capitalize on an enabling global environment where governments are becoming more business-like and private industries are recognizing their social responsibilities?
We have an opportunity to air our ideas, listen to new ideas, exchange experiences, verify hypotheses, and update scientific and technical information. The network of contacts, across sectors and within countries, opens up new areas of involvement.

OTTAWA FORUM ON FOOD FORTIFICATION

The Micronutrient Initiative, the Program Against Micronutrient Malnutrition and The Keystone Center established the International Dialogue on Micronutrient Malnutrition to stimulate collaboration between the public and private sectors. The Forum on Food Fortification in Ottawa was a first step. The Forum assembled 120 leaders from governments, national and multinational private food industries, international and bilateral aid agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and research institutions to begin the dialogue. Discussions among these diverse sectors were facilitated by The Keystone Center, an organization with wide experience mediating nutrition, natural resources and environmental regulatory issues. Staff from PAMM and MI also participated, offering their field experience with micronutrient related issues.

Participants brought a wide range of backgrounds, experience and areas of expertise to Ottawa. The discussions were structured to capitalize on this rich diversity. First, work groups were organized on international and intersectorial lines so participants from a range of countries and disciplines could share perspectives and prospective solutions. Participants also met in national and regional work groups to explore country-specific challenges. Finally, industry sector groups focused on issues specific to the fortification of milled foods, fats and oil, salt, and value-added products. All work groups shared their insights during plenary sessions.

The Forum used a facilitated dialogue process to create an environment of mutual trust and respect in which partnerships could be nurtured and mobilized. The dialogue allowed participants with different perspectives to gain insight and understanding into each other's frames of reference, responsibilities and motivations, and suggested ways to move forward. The format provided a unique opportunity to develop directions for country-specific initiatives to involve both public and private sectors in partnerships to address the challenge of micronutrient malnutrition.

The principal task before us is to mobilize and direct new arrangements, new partnerships and new coalitions.
PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR SURVEYS

In preparation for the Forum, a survey was administered to more than 200 executives and public officials in twelve developing nations, as well as representatives of international and bilateral aid agencies, multinational corporations, and research institutions in the United States, Canada and Europe. A summary report is provided in the Appendix.

The major finding of the survey was that efforts to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition have been hampered by a communications gap. While acknowledging the critical role of the food industry, the public sector had not reached out to the private sector with the micronutrient message. As a result, private sector executives were unaware of the magnitude of the problem. Furthermore, both public and private participants raised concerns about the lack of clear channels for the communication of technical information among scientists, policymakers, food technicians, producers and marketers. This professional communications gap feeds misunderstanding and indecisiveness among scientific and government organizations and results in lack of awareness in the general public.

The survey indicated both a need and desire for dialogue. Public sector respondents were committed to opening channels of communication while private sector respondents reported their receptivity to the micronutrient issue. Moreover, public and private officials tended to agree on key issues and priority actions for collaboration. Government and industry alike underscored the need to stimulate public awareness, create consumer demand, facilitate the flow of scientific and nutritional information, and build mechanisms for public-private communication and collaboration.

The survey served as a starting point for discussions by defining common ground. Where there was general agreement between public and private sectors, participants could begin to work on concrete strategies and action plans. When perspectives seemed to differ, such as the function of market research and the role of regulation, the Forum provided an opportunity to listen and learn from each other. When deep disparities in goals or cultures appeared, such as business impatience with government bureaucracy or public sector suspicion of the profit motive, participants agreed not to let differences prevent them from working together.
COLLABORATION:

OPPORTUNITIES & CHALLENGES
An unparalleled opportunity exists for governments, food companies and public agencies to collaborate on ensuring adequate intake of micronutrients for people around the world. The time is right because the foundations for building public-private collaboration are already in place. Almost all governments have nutritional plans that specify micronutrient malnutrition as an issue of national importance. Many are investing in national micronutrient malnutrition elimination programs.

Epidemiological, scientific and economic research has gathered data to propose solutions, demonstrate impact and gain commitment. Food production and consumption patterns are shifting to more centrally processed and packaged food products. The food industry is becoming more international with new trade agreements accelerating the global movement of food technology, products and capital. Markets in developing countries are providing unprecedented opportunities to reward private investment and entrepreneurial energy.

The challenge of designing workable collaborative actions lies ahead. The solutions are available. Their impact is well documented. The potential economic, social and public health benefits are great. The cost of inaction is too high to ignore. The willingness of players from all sectors was demonstrated by the attendance and discussions at the Ottawa Forum on Food Fortification.
Strategies need to be designed on a country-by-country basis. This will require country-by-country studies.

The context for fortification

Food fortification efforts unfold within a national context of specific cultural, political and market factors as well as the overall public health and micronutrient malnutrition situation. A range of agricultural, education and economic policies influence the national environment for food fortification. Participants recognized that successful strategies will adapt to regional differences, the proportion of urban and rural populations, food consumption habits, and the state of the food industry.

Elimination of micronutrient malnutrition is only one of the many global health goals adopted at the World Summit for Children. Micronutrient goals should be coordinated with other national health goals such as reducing the incidence of low birthweight babies, improving growth rates in children, increasing access to safe water and sanitation, and promoting breastfeeding. Programs have the greatest impact when planned in a complementary manner.

Issue for discussion: parallel impacts of fortification

While improving micronutrient status, will a rise in the consumption of fortified processed foods, sugar or fat also create future health problems from obesity, cancer or heart disease? Many from the public sector emphasized that they are not encouraging greater consumption of salt or sugar. They drew a careful distinction between public support for promoting the purchase of fortified foods as opposed to promoting increased consumption of a particular food vehicle.

There was general agreement that a comprehensive national micronutrient elimination program will utilize a mix of three strategies to deliver micronutrients: fortification, dietary diversification and supplementation. Each strategy offers distinct advantages in reaching specific populations, capitalizing on particular market conditions or addressing different levels of deficiency. Participants agreed that fortification, supplementation and dietary diversification should not be conceived as “either/or” choices, but rather as essential components of a comprehensive program.
ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION: A MIX OF STRATEGIES

At the opening session of the Forum, a chart was presented to illustrate how the three interventions relate over time. The supplementation curve is steepest at the outset, showing that alliances to deliver supplements, primarily through the public health and NGO infrastructure, are in place. The slow rise of the dietary diversification curve indicates this is a long-term strategy, tied to overall improvement in economic and food security. The fortification curve indicates that fortification is a crucial part of the mix if goals are to be met by the year 2000. The delay in the rise of this last curve reveals that many of the alliances between public agencies and private food companies to deliver fortified foods have yet to be built.

While the primary action to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition will take place on a national stage, participants noted the importance of the global backdrop of expanding international trade, technology and capital. After decades of competing in the mature markets of North America, Europe and Japan, multinational corporations increasingly look to developing nations for future growth and competitive advantage. With increasing resource constraints, international agencies, NGOs and donor countries are striving to better focus funding and technical support for national development. Many participants also suggested potential roles for international organizations such as the Codex Alimentarius Commission and the World Food Program.
opportunities for collaboration are hampered by a lack of communication between public agencies and private food industries. The public sector acknowledges the need for industry participation in efforts to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition, but actual communication with the private sector lags behind the rhetoric. At the same time, while accepting a larger role in addressing broad social and health issues, many in the private sector are not well informed about micronutrient malnutrition.

The communication gap means that industry leaders have not been challenged to respond to micronutrient malnutrition. Many do not understand its impact on the nation or their business, nor do they realize the role they can play in providing solutions. The gap also means public leaders often do not have input from the business community, resulting in legislation and regulation that sometimes restrict rather than enable private sector investment in food fortification. Participants agreed that in this environment outdated mental models, such as the public sector's suspicion of the profit motive, industry doubts about the public bureaucracy's results orientation, and national distrust of multinationals, prevent collaborative action to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition.

Discussions uncovered many bottlenecks in the flow of information from scientific and research institutions to government agencies and business organizations. The resulting confusion over technical issues often frustrates the translation of thorough knowledge of the micronutrient problem into effective solutions. Even though the benefits of fortification may be well documented, public officials often are hesitant to consider new legislation or revise regulations

**IDEAS FOR ACTION: IMPROVED INFORMATION FLOW**

Forum participants made a number of specific recommendations for improving access to professional information:

- Establish international database of decisions on fortificant levels
- Compile case histories of fortification efforts
- Publicize positive public health impacts of fortification
- Document benefits to private companies
- Develop global clearinghouse for patent and licensing information
- Recruit multinational network to assist and train national industries
- Convene conferences on iron fortification
because of concerns about efficacy or fear of over-fortifying. While appropriate patents are buried in the files of multinational corporations, many national industries perceive fortification technology as too complex or expensive. Skepticism, inertia and inaction flourish.

Many of the most exciting technical advancements in recent years are in the area of iron fortification. However, many participants noted that information from the scientific community about prevalence of iron deficiency, the threat of toxic overload, recommended levels, preferred market forms, and the cost of various iron formulations has been ambiguous. This has created a perception that iron fortification is complex, costly and potentially dangerous. As a result, both public officials and private industries are hesitant to begin fortifying such promising vehicles as wheat and corn flour, or to double fortify salt with iron as well as iodine.

**IDEAS FOR ACTION:**
**TECHNICAL INFORMATION ON IRON FORTIFICATION**

Clarifying the state-of-the-art on iron fortification was a priority for many participants. Workgroups recommended an updated monograph on flour fortification, a comprehensive analysis comparing all the data on iron absorption, and initiation of global and regional meetings on the fortification of wheat and corn flours with iron.

**ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION:**
**LEARNING EACH OTHER’S LANGUAGE**

Participants noted that improving communication between public and private sectors often simply means taking the time to understand terminology. For example, where the public health professional uses the term “target population,” the food company manager uses “market segment” and political leaders may refer to “taxpayers” or “voters.” For many in the public sector, “marketing” means promoting a product or solution while in the private sector it tends to mean actually developing as well as promoting the product or solution.
As we strive for improved technology, more efficient public health strategies or more profitable investments, we must not let the Perfect become the enemy of the Good.

NO NEED TO DELAY

Many of the public and private participants agreed that constraints to food fortification should not delay immediate action. Some were concerned about potential toxicity or other health risks. Others expressed confusion about market forms and fortificant levels. Many felt that fortified food products often do not reach the poorest and most vulnerable. Still others noted counter-productive regulatory situations and lax quality control systems. However, work groups generally agreed that the consequences of not acting were more serious than the constraints. Inaction perpetuates the human suffering and negative economic impact of current levels of micronutrient malnutrition.

Collaboration need not mean that public and private partners see eye-to-eye on all issues. It does mean that every effort is made to keep everyone’s “eyes on the prize” of eliminating micronutrient malnutrition and concentrate on activities of mutual benefit. Work groups believed collaboration will save time and money for both public and private sectors while effectively addressing micronutrient malnutrition. For the public sector, collaboration makes legislation, regulation and investment more focused and informed. Public resources are used more efficiently. For the private sector, collaboration reduces the investment risk in developing new fortified products.

ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION: WORLDWIDE SUCCESS IN FORTIFICATION

Food fortification has to a large extent been responsible for the disappearance of vitamin and mineral deficiencies in Canada, the United States, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. In most countries, salt is now fortified, contributing to the elimination of iodine deficiency disorders. In Latin America and Caribbean nations, including Brazil, Venezuela, Ecuador, and Grenada, there is large scale fortification of wheat and corn flour with iron and vitamin A. Fortified biscuits and full-fat milk powder fortified with iron are available in Chile. Vitamin A is added to sugar in Guatemala, margarine in the Philippines and cooking oil in India and Pakistan.
ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION: BENEFITS OF COLLABORATION

One work group engaged in extensive brainstorming and generated the following list:

- Sharing public health and scientific research with private industry saves time in the development of products and technologies.

- Public consultation with industry means legislation, standards and regulations are more realistic, achievable and successful in stimulating more fortified products.

- Social marketing can create new customers. One company reported that collaborating with a government campaign resulted in "a new market created overnight."

- Government can shoulder some liability and protect private companies from skeptics and opponents of fortification.

- The private sector can communicate consumer benefits of specific products, but the public sector can more credibly communicate the health and national development benefits.

- For the private companies, collaboration often leads to additional opportunities for business with the public sector.

- Employees' perceptions that their company is socially responsible can lead to improved morale and ultimately higher productivity.

- Association with government and a socially responsible cause improves a company’s image with consumers.

- A healthier more productive work force creates consumers with more disposable income, which benefits both those who sell products and those who collect taxes.
The Forum began a process of building new relationships.
The Forum convinced many of the need to create action-oriented linkages at the national level among government, industry, the scientific community, international agencies and NGOs. Many from the public sector expressed a renewed conviction that dialogue with private producers is fundamental to achieving their goals for the sustained elimination of micronutrient malnutrition. Industry executives indicated they are open to the dialogue and exploring ways they can assist. Most participants indicated they intended to set up national and regional dialogues upon their return, through traditional networks as well as through partnerships and alliances forged in Ottawa. The Forum provided an opportunity to begin the process of building the new relationships which will be crucial to developing public-private dialogues at home.

Work groups suggested that once micronutrient malnutrition has been defined as a national public health problem, public officials should move forward quickly to communicate the issue to industry. It may be useful to involve the private sector in early deliberations to establish whether fortification is a viable option, what type of legislation may be appropriate, or which food vehicles offer the most promise. Involving industry as a full partner may be more difficult to achieve when basic decisions are made without its participation. Also industry often has a wealth of information and experience to contribute.

Each nation will determine the most feasible, affordable and effective strategies, within the context of its own economic and social development priorities. Discussions of issues for national action featured a number of recurring themes focusing on both supply and demand of fortified products. Issues to be clarified during national level dialogues include: creating public awareness; developing consumer demand; defining the target population; mounting marketing campaigns; keeping products affordable; and assuring quality. Both public and private sectors have a role to play in addressing these issues.
With so many government and industry professionals unaware of the impact of micronutrient malnutrition, it is not surprising that many potential consumers are also uninformed. Discussion at the Forum affirmed that activities to educate consumers and stimulate demand for fortified foods are a priority for collaborative action.

As long as consumers are unaware that micronutrient malnutrition diminishes the quality of their personal and family life or that fortified foods can promote a healthier and more prosperous future, consumer demand remains untapped, even though benefits are well documented. A potential market exists but it must be developed. An initial investment in public education about micronutrient malnutrition and awareness of fortified foods is required to prepare the market. Preparing the market for the successful introduction of fortified products means fostering recognition among opinion leaders and professionals as well as the general public. These preparatory activities may target the media, politicians, celebrities, scientific and medical associations, industry groups, consumer organizations, education and agriculture professionals.

**ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION:**

**WHO TAKES THE FIRST STEP?**

While participants generally agreed that creating demand for specific products is ultimately best left to the private sector, the responsibility for initial public education and awareness remains ambiguous. Some private sector participants felt that investment in public education is a crucial first step by the public sector in order to attract and engage private industry. Others felt that the private sector, with its communications expertise, should participate in public education efforts from the beginning. Several corporate participants described their collaboration with governments and NGOs to raise awareness of teachers and children by developing school curricula and other educational activities. Opportunities to expand these activities with new public partners were explored. The mix of public and private inputs to raise awareness is an important issue to be addressed during national dialogues.
Once a foundation of public awareness is prepared, consumer demand for specific products must be created. It was the sense of the Ottawa Forum that collaborative market research is critical to creating demand. Market research defines an approach which characterizes vulnerable populations as active consumers, not simply passive recipients of a public health intervention. It is a tool to develop solutions - the right products, prices and packaging. Market research is critical not only to developing messages that resonate with consumers but also products they will prefer and purchase.

The key to successful collaboration in market research is understanding that each sector has different data requirements. Public research may emphasize prevalence, distribution of micronutrient malnutrition among different populations and typical intake of nutrients. The private sector needs data describing the potential market size, purchasing patterns and consumption habits. However in both public institutions and private companies there is a wealth of resources, data and personnel upon which good market research can be built. Industry participants looked to the public sector for initial socioeconomic and demographic data. The public sector participants generally agreed that industry can make a significant contribution with its expertise and experience in marketing research techniques.

**ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION:**
**COMPANIES RESPOND TO AWARENESS AND DEMAND**

*In many developed countries a variety of foods are fortified voluntarily by private companies responding to a broad consumer awareness of the benefits of fortification. In the United States, surveys show most adults believe commercial foods should be fortified. As a result, many companies fortify not because of imposed legislative mandates, but because they often perceive nutrition to be a potential marketing asset. For example, fortification can help differentiate a product from the competition. Sometimes foods are fortified not simply to increase sales, but because executives realize that a competitor may tap into this strong public awareness and gain market share.*
Micronutrients for the poor will not sell. Emphasis needs to be on all sectors of the population.

Defining Coverage and Market Segments

In order to have an impact on micronutrient malnutrition as a public health problem, fortified products must be consumed by poorer segments of the population. Therefore the impact of any collaborative food-based approach to micronutrient malnutrition depends on its success among consumers with low purchasing power. However, as a strategic marketing proposition, some participants pointed out that marketing only to the poor will not succeed because new information and new norms often originate among more affluent segments and then are emulated by less affluent groups.

Most participants believed that the purchasing power of more affluent populations already provided private industry sufficient incentive to supply fortified food products. On the other hand, the poorest population segment will not offer any significant profit potential to private industry for the foreseeable future. Although public and private sectors still can collaborate to reach poorer populations, the primary responsibility may fall on the public sector.

The most promising target population for initial public-private collaboration lies between these two segments. Some participants pointed out that, unlike many public health issues, the social and economic impact of micronutrient malnutrition is not limited to the “poorest of the poor.” The economic losses of up to 5% of GDP resulting from inadequate dietary intake can only be reversed by

Issue for Discussion: Targeting the Bulk of the Population

Using a hypothetical example, one work group suggested that the most affluent 10% and the least affluent 10% of the population not be initial targets for collaboration. The former has little need for public assistance while the later offers little opportunity for private investors. The group suggested that the remaining 80% offered many opportunities. In some cases, products already consumed by this large population might be fortified. In other cases, some market niches served by fortified products might be expanded to include lower income consumers. Or new market niches might be identified for existing products. The group concluded that this process of “market segmentation” needs to be undertaken collaboratively on a national level.
ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION: COVERAGE VERSUS SEGMENTATION

Clarifying varying perceptions about the issue of “coverage” is fundamental. Public health sector participants expressed a preference for national solutions that cover the population spectrum, as was the case with iodized salt. Private sector participants looked to “segment the market” into niches that offer specific profit-making opportunities. While small niches might offer a profit potential to the private sector, there remains a question of how large a market segment must be in order to have a “national impact” and be a recognized part of the national public health program. Each national dialogue will need to define its own approach to bridging this gap.

enabling that large proportion of the population that falls between the most and least affluent segments to achieve full mental, physical and productive capacity.

Participants agreed that the size, accessibility and purchasing power of each target population or market niche will define the collaborative arrangements between government and industry. For example, developing a product for a lower-income group will probably entail more public support and involvement than for consumers at the higher end of the income spectrum. Even small niches provide a potential for both private profit and public health impact. Reaching one or two percent of the population in many countries still represents a sufficient number of consumers for a private company to make a profit and for public agencies to progress towards their micronutrient malnutrition elimination goals.
There is no single “magic bullet” to address a nation’s micronutrient malnutrition problem across regional, cultural and socioeconomic lines.

IDENTIFYING FOOD VEHICLES

Participants stressed that food vehicles for fortification should be selected through a process of careful market research that identifies foods that are consumed by a vast majority of the population, are affordable to those most in need, and respect both political and cultural sensitivities and consumer preferences. Collaborative efforts should concentrate on fortification strategies with the greatest public health impact. A recurring theme was that the focus of public-private collaboration should be on inexpensive staple foods consumed by significant numbers of the population. However, many participants felt that a number of food vehicles can play a role in a comprehensive fortification strategy.

One work group visualized a three-tiered pyramid of food products with staple foods like sugar, cereals and grains, fats and oils at the base; basic foods like breads and biscuits, packaged cereals and flours, and dairy products in the middle; and value-added foods such as condiments, snacks, candies, convenience and ready-to-eat foods at the top. Some felt that value-added foods need not simply be expensive products for the affluent, but also small unit purchases like tomato paste, spices and flavorings. Fortifying less expensive staple foods at the base of the pyramid results in broader dissemination of micronutrients throughout the population, particularly the poor. Since basic and value-added foods are processed from staple commodities, fortifying foods at the base of the pyramid results in fortifying products throughout the food chain. Moreover, individuals are not the only customers for staples. Producers of commodity foods noted that when considering the viability of fortification for their business, sales to companies that produce basic and value-added products are often as important as sales directly to consumers.

The group that presented this model did not mean that only staple foods should be fortified, but that as a policy matter staple foods would have the broadest and most immediate impact. Some participants suggested that while staple foods reach a greater proportion of the population, marketing and technological innovation most often emerge from the development of value-added products. A case history was presented which described the fortification of a high visibility value-added product, stimulating interest in fortification among producers of staple and basic foods.
WITH broad agreement that public awareness and consumer demand are high priorities, discussion turned to marketing and promotion. Public sector participants indicated that social marketing is already an integral part of most national plans to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition. However, the private sector with its experience, expertise and resources often does not have the opportunity to contribute to these social marketing campaigns.

Many noted that collaborative public-private marketing campaigns allow each sector to focus on its unique strengths. Public agencies have the credibility to market the health benefits of fortification while private companies have a better understanding of promoting the consumer benefits and the competitive advantage of their specific products. Private companies excel in creating powerful consumer-oriented messages while public agencies often have special access to media and government distribution channels. Collaborative marketing campaigns offer concrete opportunities for public-private dialogue to move to action. The ground was laid at the Forum for follow-up meetings among public officials and representatives of national and multinational food companies to explore the possibility of joint market research and collaborative marketing campaigns in several Asian and Latin American countries during 1996.

IDEAS FOR ACTION: A SEQUENCE OF ROLES

One work group elaborated a step-by-step marketing plan, assigning distinct roles and responsibilities to public and private partners:

1. The public sector performs initial educational efforts to raise the general level of public awareness.
2. The private sector takes the lead in research to segment the market and define various opportunities.
3. Public and private sectors collaborate on developing the actual themes and messages.
4. Public and private sectors collaborate on implementing the production and dissemination of the campaign messages.
5. The private sector takes the lead in tracking and fine tuning the campaign.
6. Public and private sectors work to revise messages and build on the results.
7. The public sector evaluates national impact.
KEEPING PRODUCTS AFFORDABLE

Since fortification increases costs, participants affirmed that every effort must be made to keep retail costs to a minimum. If the retail cost of a fortified staple is allowed to rise substantially, poorer populations may purchase less expensive non-fortified products or will buy less of the fortified staple, which might actually contribute to increased malnutrition. On the other hand, consumer prices must be carefully balanced with higher producer costs in order not to discourage supply. Most work groups agreed that cost is only relative to the opportunity. If the size and potential of the market for fortified products is sufficient, increased costs should not discourage private industry.

The key to keeping products affordable is isolating the component parts of the increased costs. These include not simply the cost of the fortificant itself but also technology, training, packaging, distribution and marketing. By determining whether the public or the private sector is in the best position to shoulder each expense, the increased risk and the cost can be shared. For example, government is in the best position to control the price of imported fortificants or technology through tariff exemptions. On the other hand, industry can more efficiently train personnel or develop new quality control procedures. Increased marketing and distribution costs can be segmented and shared by public and private sectors with each contributing according to its strengths.

Participants felt that with strong communication between public and private sectors many purchasing, processing, marketing and distribution activities can be coordinated to achieve efficiencies of scale and bring down the unit cost of fortified products. However, they noted that without good communication there may be unintended effects. For example, publicly subsidized products for low-income groups may discourage private producers from developing a similar product for the same market.

ISSUE FOR DISCUSSION:
HOW EXPENSIVE IS FORTIFICATION?

Some participants presented data suggesting that increased costs of producing fortified products were overstated. The cost of vitamin A fortificant has been estimated to add about 0.01% to the price of milk or margarine, 0.05% to the price of wheat and about 1.5% to the price of sugar. Others continue to believe that cost is a major barrier.
IDEAS FOR ACTION: COLLABORATION TO CONTROL COSTS

Various work groups offered a number of collaborative strategies to control costs, reduce risk and shield poorer consumers from price increases:

- **PARALLEL MARKETS:** A private company supplies higher income consumers with a premium product, while a collaborative private-public enterprise supplies a similar but lower-priced product to a less competitive, low-income market. Savings arise from shared product development costs and efficiencies of scale in larger purchases of raw materials and production runs. These savings can be used to keep the costs of the less expensive product down.

- **CROSS SUBSIDIZATION:** The government offers special access to markets that are attractive to industry with an agreement that the private firm will use profits from the product to subsidize the development and marketing of a product targeting lower income consumers. Private companies might consider developing this option with no special public assistance as a "cause-related" marketing strategy.

- **PROPRIETARY PUBLIC MARKETS:** When purchasing food from private companies for special feeding programs – schools, low-income groups, refugees – the public sector should specify fortified foods and offer long-term contracts. A long-term guaranteed market lowers the risk to producers interested in developing fortified products for additional markets.

- **FUNCTIONAL DIVISION:** Public agencies can invest in education, marketing and advertising activities while private producers bear increased production costs of fortified foods. This lowers initial investment and risk to private producers.

- **GOVERNMENT ENDORSEMENTS:** Government approved logos, endorsements and health claims reduce the private marketing burden and give a competitive edge to fortified products.

- **PUBLIC SECTOR AS FORTIFICANT DISTRIBUTOR:** A government agency purchases fortificant duty free and at preferred bulk price. The agency then distributes the fortificant at or below cost to producers.

- **PREFERRED ACCESS TO PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE:** Fortified products receive preferred treatment through government transportation and distribution networks, reducing risk and distribution costs. Producers of fortified products can be more efficient, giving them an advantage over producers of non-fortified products.

Many types of fortification have very little effect on the overall cost of the product, but this is not true in all cases.
质量保证是一个 Partnership 努力。 Both public and private participants asserted the need to develop and improve quality assurance systems, standardize regulations, and strengthen enforcement. Public sector participants noted that national micronutrient elimination goals often outstrip resources for assuring quality. Without effective quality assurance, program monitoring and evaluation are difficult. Private sector participants stated that inconsistent regulations and redundant testing procedures add expense to introducing proven technologies or fortified products to new markets. Clearly stated and strictly enforced standards requiring a minimum of interpretation would encourage producers to enter new markets with fortified products.

There was general agreement that quality assurance and enforcement of standards are not solely the responsibility of the public sector. Quality assurance is a partnership effort involving raw material suppliers, importers, processors, traders, merchants and consumers. It includes the entire distribution chain extending from national and provincial structures to local governments and retailers. While national standards and legal sanctions are set by government, industry should consider establishing its own guidelines for best manufacturing and management practices. Some felt that industry, with its wide experience in ensuring quality food products, should take an advocacy role to educate government ministries about the importance of making investments in quality assurance an integral part of national micronutrient malnutrition elimination programs.

A priority task for national dialogue will be defining complementary public and private roles in developing legislation and regulations, providing resources for laboratories, establishing quality assurance methodologies at the producer and retail levels, and training technical personnel. Producers of basic and value-added foods from a number of countries indicated they have effective quality assurance mechanisms in place to test raw materials that their company purchases. These might be a starting point for developing simple and low cost methodologies for quality assurance in the public arena. A number of participants suggested that it might be effective to pool resources for laboratories and technical personnel on a regional basis.
IDEAS FOR ACTION: SHARING METHODS AND PROCEDURES

During the course of work group discussions many in the private sector indicated a willingness to share their analytical methodologies with the public sector and assist in the technical training of public sector personnel. Several work groups felt that within the context of a collaborative process, industry might take the lead in developing standard operating procedures for quality assurance. These can be agreed upon by both private and public sectors and jointly monitored, with the private sector taking main responsibility at the factory level, and the public sector taking main responsibility at the retail level.
Each sector plays a critical role in achieving and sustaining success.
In collaborative initiatives to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition, each sector has a specific role to play and there are certain steps to be taken in the process of building collaboration. Participants felt that a catalyst might be needed to bring diverse national interests, organizations and individuals together to consider needs and plan collaborative action. Depending on national circumstances, an NGO, a government agency, an international organization, a private sector trade association or a combination of those sectors can help explain the role of the private sector to government and the need for industry participation to the private sector. UNICEF performed this function in campaigns to eliminate iodine deficiency disorders by building coalitions of government and private producers to iodize national salt supplies. In the case of the Ottawa Forum, the catalysts were the Micronutrient Initiative and PAMM, with dialogue facilitation provided by The Keystone Center and financial support from the World Bank, MI, USAID, UNICEF, UNDP and ILSI.

IDEAS FOR ACTION: DOING OUR HOMEWORK

Catalysts can do more than simply bringing parties to the table. To stimulate new thinking, it is often useful to consolidate existing data, package old information in new ways, or develop new information if resources are available. Organizers of the Ottawa Forum conducted a survey of potential public and private partners to serve as a starting point for dialogue. At the Forum participants suggested a number of other activities to stimulate national level discussions:

- Simple, clear analysis of the national micronutrient situation
- Documentation of the impact and benefits of micronutrient campaigns
- Calculations of the national payback for investment in fortification
- Preliminary market sizing information or consumer profiles
- National food industry sector review
- Survey of public-private attitudes and suggestions for action
- Synthesis of public and private attitudes to date

In many countries, those with the knowledge and wherewithal to pursue fortification and collaboration often meet as friends, but not as professional colleagues to solve these problems. There is often a need for a catalyst to bring these people together as organizations.
ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES: NATIONAL GOVERNMENT

Participants underscored the need to create and sustain political will at all levels of government to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition as a public health problem. Going beyond traditional roles in advocacy, communication, education, monitoring and quality assurance, public officials must develop new kinds of relationships with the private sector. Participants felt it was crucial that the public sector should not attempt to engineer these new relationships but rather address the private sector as a key partner in fortification strategies. To attract private sector partnership governments should establish a clear entry point for collaborative efforts.

Although some private sector participants expressed reservations about legislating supply and demand, others felt that government regulation and public incentives are needed to stimulate consumer demand and private investment in fortification. Both public and private sector participants agreed that consultation during the legislative process will help ensure that laws and regulations are based on realistic goals which recognize the technical and fiscal realities of the national food industry. Dialogue with industry is key to creating an enabling environment where food companies can compete on quality, on price and on innovation.

Forum participants stated that government must articulate clear policies and a unified decision-making process across all government ministries. They noted that public policies often send mixed messages to private producers. In many countries broad economic and development policies tend to undercut the stated commitment to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition. For example, government price controls on food products may discourage fortification efforts because producers are unable to recoup their added costs. Tariffs on imported food technology and fortificant mixes raise the expense of fortifying to producers and retail cost to consumers. Some participants pointed out that efforts to support small business as an integral part of the national economy often tend to undercut the ability of larger producers to more efficiently produce and market fortified food products at a lower cost.
Ideas for Action: Incentives for Producers

Work groups proposed a number of actions government might undertake to provide incentives for private producers:

- Exemptions from sales and value-added tax
- Assisting with technology transfer and fortificant costs by tariff exemption
- Preferred access to public transport
- Specifying fortification in bids for government proprietary markets
- Providing public logos, endorsements, and supporting health claims
- Initiating activities to prepare the market through education and awareness campaigns.

There is a need for a uniform approach at the national level with logistical support provided by various departments.
PRIVATE FOOD COMPANIES

Both public and private participants felt that the food industry should not be a passive partner in micronutrient malnutrition elimination efforts, viewing them as a public issue and reacting only when specifically asked for assistance. Collaboration involves both sides taking ownership of the issue and searching for solutions together. Advocacy to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition cannot simply be targeted to industry but must also originate from industry.

A more activist stance begins with clear communication to the public sector that industry goals go beyond short-term profit and include the long-term health, productivity and purchasing power of its employees as well as current and potential customers. The food industry must communicate its concern, goodwill and readiness to collaborate. To begin a dialogue, the food industry must advocate for the enabling environment it needs to contribute to the effort: market data, a level playing field, focused incentives and consistently enforced quality assurance.

Many nationally based food companies indicated that a major barrier to their developing products to address micronutrient malnutrition was lack of access to the appropriate technology. Others, particularly multinationals, insisted that basic fortification technology was neither prohibitively complex nor expensive. Several indicated their willingness to make patents and technical training available. All agreed that international private sector channels for technology transfer could be established. Informal evaluations conducted as the Forum came to a close revealed that several firms had already initiated discussions on transferring existing patents and technology. Dialogues at the national level should seek to provide additional opportunities for this kind of interchange.

There was general agreement that food companies bring a realistic, problem-solving approach to the public-private dialogue. The fundamental role of private industry is to do what it does best: create products and technologies, develop marketing and distribution mechanisms, and compete on quality and excellence. The challenge of collaboration is to channel this expertise in ways that support national priorities to eliminate micronutrient malnutrition.
IDEAS FOR ACTION: INDUSTRY INITIATIVES

Work groups proposed a number of ways for industry to contribute to collaborative activities:

- Clearly advocate industry needs to government
- Assist public sector in assessment of national needs
- Participate in education, research and health assessment
- Assist in market research and promotional materials
- Develop business-to-business channels to transfer technology
- Develop joint ventures among fortificant and pre-mix suppliers and food processors
- Provide training and methodologies for quality assurance programs
- Create "Industry Best Practices" code for production and marketing of fortified products
- Develop special investment criteria for fortified products
- Collaborate to expand current market niches for fortified foods

Industry should ask government what it can do to help.
Many participants praised the crucial role of international agencies and NGOs in providing technical support and strategic funding. The food industry looks to this sector to compile credible nutritional, epidemiological and economic data. Participants recommended that international organizations assist with national assessments to define the micronutrient malnutrition problem and provide baseline measurements. Many saw a critical role for international agencies in helping governments establish effective national standards and quality assurance. Surveys of the national food sector and an assessment of the public and private resources potentially available to the collaborative effort were suggested as ways to stimulate a national public-private dialogue.

Participants believed international organizations and NGOs could be catalysts, challenging public and private sectors to interact. Many felt that agencies need to start with open and direct consultations with the private sector on a national and multinational level in order to bridge the communication gap between government and industry.

**IDEAS FOR ACTION: INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR NATIONAL EFFORTS**

Work groups suggested international organizations and NGOs undertake a range of specific investments to assist national collaborative efforts:

- Technical assistance to better assess the achievements of fortification programs
- Food industry sector reviews, i.e., assessing national and regional milling capacity
- Publication of case histories documenting successful collaboration efforts
- Dissemination of research documenting the economic impact of micronutrient malnutrition and communicating the costs and benefits associated with food fortification
- Subsidy for the initial cost of the fortificant and technology
- An international clearinghouse for fortification information and technology
- Support for national groups in mounting dialogue meetings and collaborative pilot projects
**ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION: INVOLVING CODEX**

Participants noted that the regional Codex organization and many of its specific committees, including Grain and Cereal Products, Milk and Milk Products, Fats and Oils, and Food Additives and Contaminants, are sympathetic to the need for change and advocate more rapid development of guidelines that enable fortification activities. Many suggested direct consultation between Codex and national bodies on food fortification issues.

Industry and government officials consistently suggested a role for the Codex Alimentarius Commission in developing uniform, scientifically-based standards for fortified foods and ingredient approvals. Governments felt Codex could provide guidance in establishing safe and efficacious national standards. Industry executives believe Codex standards could assist in creating an international environment in which private companies could develop fortified foods and transfer technology to new markets with confidence that their products would be acceptable to both government regulators and consumers. Many felt Codex guidelines might help governments set national regulations which would allow flexibility and innovation, and enable the development of a range of fortified products to meet consumer needs.

There is a potential synergy when a global campaign assists individual countries.
ARTICIPANTS FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO PROCEED STRATEGICALLY WITH A BUSINESS-LIKE PLAN WHICH STARTS BY ACQUIRING DATA AND ASSESSING RESOURCES, THEN MOVES TO GAIN COMMITMENT FROM INDUSTRY AND GOVERNMENT LEADERS TO WORK TOGETHER, AND FINALLY PROCEEDS TO IMPLEMENTATION OF COLLABORATIVE ACTION. WHILE NATIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES VARY AND THERE CAN BE NO GLOBAL TEMPLATE FOR NATIONAL ACTION, SEVERAL WORK GROUPS DESCRIBED A SEQUENCE OF STEPS TO INITIATE, DEVELOP AND SUSTAIN A NATIONAL PUBLIC-PRIVATE DIALOGUE. THESE ARE SUMMARIZED AND SYNTHESIZED AS FOLLOWS:

- **Define the problem**
  Develop existing data to clearly define the national micronutrient malnutrition problem. Use the information to convince professionals in all sectors, both public and private, that micronutrient malnutrition has a negative impact on their various goals and activities.

- **Identify support and resources**
  Resources, energy and expertise are all needed to develop a catalytic agent as well as generate and package relevant information that brings the public and private sectors to the table.

- **Engage everyone who can have a potential impact**
  Public-private dialogue opens a new chapter in addressing the micronutrient malnutrition problem. The organizers must discard old mental models, approach the problem objectively, and reach out with an open mind to all those who might have an impact.

- **Get all relevant parties to commit to a common overall objective**
  The fundamental task of public-private dialogue is to get agreement from all the relevant players – government, industry, NGOs and development assistance agencies – to “keep their eyes on the prize” and stay focused on the common objective of eliminating micronutrient malnutrition.

- **Identify target populations and food vehicles**
  Public and private partners need to determine which populations will be targeted with what kinds of fortified products. Get consensus that delivery of specific micronutrient rich products to specific populations is the major criterion by which to measure success. The challenge is to segment the market and match each target group with an appropriate food product.
Identify and build on existing public and private resources
Survey private food markets as well as public resources and infrastructure. Build on existing distribution systems, ongoing communications strategies, national nutritional plans, and government markets such as school feeding programs.

Get agreement on an analysis of the problem and priority actions
Get public-private consensus on what prevents target populations’ access to micronutrient rich foods and how these barriers are best reduced using available resources.

Develop a work plan
Develop an overall strategy with specific goals and activities. Make certain objectives are realistic, based on real commitments, and can be monitored and evaluated. It may help to begin with pilot projects that can demonstrate impact.

Define government and industry roles
Clarify which government ministries will be involved and where leadership resides. Establish responsibilities and entry points for industry within each ministry. For each food producing company, outline specific actions to be taken and indicate the counterpart ministry.

Elaborate quality assurance mechanisms
Work out quality assurance and enforcement systems as a collaborative enterprise between government and industry. Integrate quality assurance activities into marketing and training components of the overall strategy.

Continue ongoing dialogue
To sustain and expand long-term collaborative commitments, meetings should be convened regularly to review activities and establish new needs. Once created, a long-term action plan needs to be maintained and modified.
CLOSING REMARKS

This is an important forum, an event that I dare say would not have happened even just five years ago. Let me just say briefly why I think all of the sponsors of this meeting and certainly we in Canada—CIDA (the Canadian International Development Agency) and IDRC (the International Development Research Centre)—have no doubt about this statement. We are pleased to be associated with this Forum on Food Fortification, both as sponsors and as active organizers, because we believe not only that the time has come for this kind of diverse conversation, but indeed that the time is overdue.

We are driven today by a new set of factors, massive factors, that are changing our landscape globally, nationally, and at the level of our communities:

First, the capacity of government to do the things it has done in most of the post-war era is changing in significant and dramatic ways. Our generation is witnessing a power shift away from big government doing big things for entire populations. And with this shift, we are seeing a reduction in the willingness of populations to finance and provide resources for big government. Concurrently, there is also a withdrawal of confidence in the instruments of government.

The second factor that I think strikes all of us on a daily basis is the inequitable distribution of wealth. Although wealth is being produced today as never before, there is a problem in its distribution, of tapping into its availability, and channelling it to improve economic and social well-being of people around the world. In fact, according to some business leaders, the big concern now is that wealth will become so concentrated that we will see a decline in the ability of populations to consume. If this happens, we will face a problem of declining demand even though wealth is available as never before.

Third, the factor that is driving wealth has shifted. It is knowledge, including technology, which differentiates those who have and those who have not, both within and between societies. Approximately 95 percent of all the research and development that is carried out in the world is done in only 14 countries, countries in what we call the “industrial world” or the “developed world.” That means that a mere five percent of the generation of knowledge in an organized way or in a “modern way” is done in parts of the world which contain something like 95 percent of the world’s population, certainly 95 percent of its active labour force. Given that such a massive distortion occurs in connection with knowledge generation as part of our global landscape, the enormous challenge lies in having the right kind of knowledge made available, produced locally and applied locally.

There is a fourth factor which I think illuminates both this discussion and all the discussions held during the Forum, and that is the emergence of new actors. These are frequently referred to as “civil society.” The existence of civil society is
not new—it has been around for millennia. What is different today however is that there is an explosive growth of organizations. In country after country, there is a massive increase in the numbers as we have never seen—certainly in the last 250 or 300 years—of associations and organizations which are voluntary and non-voluntary alike, which are within civil society. And these groups are taking on new and expanding roles. I heard from some of the speakers just a few moments ago about the importance of bringing in local actors at the local level. I assume that we are talking about civil society.

In an extremely thought provoking article, Lester Salamon compares the growth of civil society in our time to the growth of the nation state some 200 years ago. This draws our attention to the fact that actions that might have been taken ten or twenty years ago by agencies and governments, North and South alike, will need to find new and expanded partnerships because of the changing horizon in which we find our world today.

If we are to succeed, whether in eliminating micronutrient malnutrition or indeed as we confront most other human development challenges, the principal task before us is to mobilize and direct new arrangements, new partnerships, new coalitions which, because the structures were different before, were not necessary, or certainly not as necessary, in times past. The old ways of doing things are simply not likely to suffice.

This Forum has been an attempt to bring a new diversity, a new richness and a new sharing of knowledge—from North, from South, from institutions, from the corporate world, from civil society actors—to a subject that is immeasurably important and one of the most fundamental subjects that defines human need, human potential and human development. That of course is basic and adequate nutrition. This, I would suggest to you, is something that would not have happened five years ago.

The point here is that the resources of the institutions called development assistance institutions are, in the context in which we find ourselves in the mid-1990s, clearly insufficient to address the magnitude of the problems that we confront. The realization today is that exclusive approaches such as I described are not going to work. They are not adequate. I hope what we have opened up in this International Forum on Food Fortification are first avenues of what will turn out to be extended conversations and explorations as to how new arrangements, new coalitions, new partnerships can be found and expanded to reflect the realities of the time we live in, in order to confront both the problem of micronutrient malnutrition and from that, one would hope and expect, many other problems as well.

— Dr. Keith Bezanson
President, International Development Research Centre, Canada
SUMMARY OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR SURVEYS

In preparation for the dialogue, 200 executives and public officials in Bangladesh, Bolivia, Brazil, Egypt, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Pakistan, the Philippines, South Africa, and Zimbabwe, as well as U.S. or Europe-based representatives from international development agencies, multinational corporations and academia, responded to a survey. Results provide everyone a clear view of what the “other side” thought on a number of key issues—and allows the dialogue to begin on common ground. The top points from the survey appear below.

1. **THE SECTORS NEED TO SPEAK TO EACH OTHER**
   
   While acknowledging the critical role of the food industry, the public sector, which identified the micronutrient malnutrition problem, has not communicated enough with the private sector. Half the private sector reported no contact from public officials about micronutrient issues—and only slightly more than this number, 60% of the public sector, said they had tried to explain their goals to industry. Despite this communications gap, the survey indicated that such communication is effective and desirable. Among industry managers who were contacted by public officials, 80% reported it made a difference in their thinking about the problem; 87% said they would be interested in regular communications with the public sector; and 81% said they would be receptive to collaboration with the public sector—including sharing technology and marketing information.

2. **NOW THAT WE’RE TALKING, WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT OUR DIFFERING PRIORITIES.**
   
   The survey emphasized areas where the private and public sectors currently agree, where different definitions or performance indicators lead to different expectations, and where the two sectors are widely divergent.

   Heading the top of the list for agreement were:

   - **Increasing Public Awareness and Creating Consumer Demand**
     
     Both the public and private sectors saw the value of working together on public information and demand creation campaigns to prepare the market for fortified foods. This would help the private sector get into the market, and ensure that the public used these foods appropriately once they were there.

   - **Professional Communications**—All respondents wanted to increase the flow of scientific, nutritional, technical and marketing information—both ways. Much food fortification technology is patented by the private sector, but lying fallow, when it could be easily applied to simple products, such as flour, sugar or oil, in countries where these patents do not apply. Licensing agreements or seminars to share information were seen as ways to get over the “fear of trying,” particularly in the area of iron fortification.
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- **Mechanisms for Collaboration** — Respondents suggest there are no established rules of engagement between public and private sectors, and that roles need to be defined, clarified and validated. The dialogue process, which would be repeated at the national level, is intended to provide the mechanism to plan collaborative education and awareness activities, develop professional relationships, and build organizational linkages.

  The middle tier of priorities suggest areas where public and private sectors might listen and learn from each other's points of view. For example,

- **Market Research** is tenth on the public sector list and third on the private sector list. This category refers to market research, market sizing, consumer profiles and epidemiology, (the population based research tool of public health). In public health nutrition, marketers are often brought in at the end of a process and asked to promote products or ideas developed without their input. The food industry looks to a fuller marketing mix of product development, pricing and packaging as well as promotion. The private sector views marketing as equivalent to developing the solution - not just a way to deliver it. The public sector might consider this viewpoint as beneficial to serving the public and bring marketing in much earlier in the process.

- **Long Term Growth Opportunities** — The public sector sees fortified foods as an opportunity while the private sector is more circumspect. The difference in optimism might flow from the priority placed on marketing, as described above. Most business respondents said they were reluctant to take risks and judge opportunities without first having seen “the numbers.” Perhaps, as public and private sectors begin to understand each other's approach to risk taking, market research might become a tool for collaboration - a tool to translate the public sector focus on the size of the need into the private sector's need to emphasize the size of the opportunity.

- **The Role of Regulation** — Is seen differently through public or private sector eyes. In answering the question “What factors prompted your organization to implement food related regulations, standards or other codes of conduct,” public sector respondents mentioned health, safety, quality assurance and social responsibility. The private sector saw a need for policies that provide an environment where companies wishing to develop safe, high quality products can compete, innovate and develop a growing market for fortified food products. Public sector respondents saw only their policing role, and not their enabling role, in bringing more fortified foods to market — but both sectors valued regulations.
Perhaps the most surprising part of the survey appears in the areas of greatest divergence:

- **The Public Sector is more worried about the cost of food fortification than the Private Sector** — 75% of public sector respondents were gloomy about the economic environment for fortification. On the other hand, half of the private sector reported achieving economic benefits in fortification, while half said they saw some constraints. This split in perception is, first, related to actual experience. Second, the public sector might be narrowing its focus on the “poorest of the poor,” in contrast to the private sector’s view of a spectrum of niche markets of opportunity. Might a dialogue work to align these contrasting points of view? It’s possible that public-private collaboration in market research has the potential to define new products, new pricing and distribution mechanisms which create new niches of opportunity for the food products among low income consumers. A public-private collaboration can focus on the development of what we might call a public health market. Again, a first step is beginning our collaborative efforts early on in the market research process.

- **Public Bureaucracy and Business Motives** — Misperceptions or real barriers? One of the private sector’s top priorities for discussion was their view that the public sector lacked a “results orientation, clear goals, decisiveness and follow-up.” To some extent, these comments reflected the misperception that the public sector wanted to place limitations on which foods are appropriate to fortify and which consumer groups are appropriate to target. However, about two thirds of our public sector respondents feel the field is wide open with respect to foods to fortify and almost 80% feel that all consumers should have access to these products. Furthermore, the survey responses indicated that the public sector seems to be aware of its own limitations. When responding to a question on barriers to fortification, one third of our public sector respondents commented on politics, bureaucracy and legal issues as barriers. Likewise, many private sector respondents were aware of their own limitations, commenting that the rigors of profit-making enterprises and the stress on short-term return often restrict involvement in what they consider to be worthwhile social enterprises.

The surveys provide a good starting point to a fruitful dialogue between the public and private sectors, beginning with self-awareness and recognition of each other’s limitations. In order to go forward, it makes sense to begin work on concrete strategies and action plans in areas where there already is general agreement. Next, where there seem to be diverging perceptions on priority issues, we need to listen and learn from each other. Finally, on issues where public and private goals diverge entirely, we are left to recognize that there are limits to our ability to collaborate and we need to agree not to let our differences prevent us from working together. Both public and private sectors have a role to play in the global elimination of micronutrient malnutrition. As we jointly take the risks involved in collaboration we can all look forward to the promise of sharing in a truly great reward.
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The Micronutrient Initiative (MI)

The Micronutrient Initiative was established in 1992 as an international secretariat in Ottawa, Canada by its principal sponsors: Canadian International Development Agency, International Development Research Centre, United Nations Children’s Fund, United Nations Development Program, and the World Bank. The mission of the MI is to catalyze the sustainable control of micronutrient malnutrition by the year 2000 in keeping with the goals of the World Summit for Children and the International Conference on Nutrition. The MI recognizes that solutions to overcoming micronutrient deficiencies need to go well beyond traditional health and nutrition systems. The MI’s support is guided by national strategies and expert consensus regarding viable and sustainable interventions for each of the three targeted micronutrients. The MI focuses attention on five areas considered critical to national and global efforts in eliminating micronutrient malnutrition: advocacy and alliance building, development and application of technologies, regional and national initiatives, capacity building, and resolution of key operations issues.

The Program Against Micronutrient Malnutrition (PAMM)

The Program Against Micronutrient Malnutrition, PAMM, is a multi-disciplinary team that engineers innovative solutions to reach the global goal of eliminating micronutrient malnutrition by the year 2000. PAMM works with public health officials worldwide through participatory training, customized technical support and strategic alliance building. Strategies include escalating the application of proven technologies, knowledge and information to improve micronutrient status of populations, advocacy for effective public policy, and development and promotion of quality assurance systems that sustain successful achievements. PAMM, represented by network members in 42 countries, is a collaborative enterprise of the Rollins School of Public Health at Emory University, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Task Force for Child Survival and Development of the Carter Center, and Wageningen Agricultural University and International Agricultural Center. Programs are supported in part by the United Nations Children’s Fund, the World Bank, the United States Agency for International Development, the Opportunities for Micronutrient Interventions Project, the Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Thrasher Research Fund, and the Micronutrient Initiative.

The Keystone Center

The Keystone Center is a non-profit, non-governmental organization that serves as a neutral convener, facilitator, and mediator for controversial issues related to human health, the environment, natural resources, and science and technology. The primary mission of the Center’s Science and Public Policy Program is to resolve conflicts and facilitate mutual understanding and education among diverse parties, including citizen groups, the private sector, academia, labor, consumer groups and all levels of government. These conflict management projects have had major impacts on the development of regulatory policy, legislation, and action plans for local, regional, national, and international decision making. The Center has established a track record over its twenty year history for providing a respected and trusted neutral forum for resolving controversial policy issues. The product of these dialogues includes agreements that can be translated into operational rules, more effective regulatory policy, or the drafting of new legislation. Projects are implemented at the local, national, and international levels and concentrate in the substantive areas of environmental quality, natural resources, biotechnology and genetic resources, energy, agriculture, food and nutrition, health, science, and technology. The Keystone Science and Public Policy Program projects have focused on areas such as the clean-up of toxic waste sites, the implementation of clean air legislation, AIDS vaccine liability, food safety, the conservation of biological diversity, the management of hazardous and radioactive waste, and efficient energy use.
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